

DATE: 2 March 1953

A/B, IV, 1, 14

TO: FILE

FROM: [redacted] - A

SUBJECT: THUNDER Conference

[redacted] - D

1. On 2 March 1953, [redacted] and the writer (Labor) interviewed [redacted] in connection with the [redacted] case. The reason for this visit was that the [redacted] people intend possibly to use [redacted] in an operation in [redacted] and wish to find out whether or not [redacted]

A, E, C
S, F

1. He had too much knowledge from a medical point of view (anatomy, diseases, techniques, drugs, etc.) from our previous ANTIDOTE work and [redacted]
2. From a security point of view, could he give too much information to the [redacted] if he could not directly to them.

H

2. [redacted] expressed the professional opinion that the ANTIDOTE work in June 1952 was concerned that [redacted] would not have any valuable knowledge of the ANTIDOTE technique. He stated that in his opinion [redacted] believed he was given a medical treatment and that questions asked of him were of a medical nature and not pro-interrogation.

C
D
D

3. [redacted] further stated that he felt that in consideration of [redacted] would have total trauma for such that went on during June when ANTIDOTE treatment was applied. He also stated he would have no knowledge of the chemicals, techniques, personalities, etc. that would be of value to the [redacted] if he could not. In connection with the trip in August 1951 [redacted] stated he believed [redacted] would know that he had been subjected to drugs since his behavior was not normal and [redacted] himself in an intelligent, smart, hard-core agent type.

C
D

[redacted] stated it would not make any difference any way since [redacted] was not asked pertinent or intelligence-type questions and [redacted] certainly would have no knowledge of chemicals since these were put in coffee, beer, and in his food.

H, C
D, D
D
C
D

4. [redacted] stated he felt, from a medical point of view, there would be no real harm in using [redacted] for another operation and that if [redacted] could not be [redacted] he could give them no truly valuable information and if he did give them information, the information would do no harm then [redacted] could give no factual details.

C
D
D, H
D

[redacted]

5. In connection with the above mentioned incident, [redacted] has stated he had been given [redacted] information. He expressed the opinion that [redacted] emphasized the fact that he was given chemicals during the [redacted] process and that he would have very little other information and he felt that [redacted] statements were simply designed to serve his own ends and were based on an intelligent guess and not much more.

6. [redacted] stated that it would be interesting if any other hard-core persons were visiting; [redacted] to talk with and try to find out by subtle questions JUNE BLISS [redacted] framed of the June CHICKADEE work and what his visit was on the August-September [redacted] exploitation. [redacted]

1. A